

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,114 12/15/2003		12/15/2003	Gerard J. Tate	3648	
45096	7590	05/13/2005		EXAM	INER
STEVEN	HOROWI	TZ, ESQ.	LAVINDER, JACK W		
295 MADI	SON AVE	•			
SUITE 700				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017				3677	

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/736,114	TATE, GERARD J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jack W. Lavinder	3677					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	March 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-14</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction and	rithdrawn from consideratio	n.·					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
I0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· ·	-					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

pur

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/736,114

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 15 and 16 are directed to an invention that is
independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:
claims 15 and 16 are drawn to an alternative species, figure 1, that was not previously
claimed

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 15-16 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,114

Art Unit: 3677

In claim 9, the limitation in line 13, "a curved top surface resembling a top surface of an upside down spoon," was not disclosed in the original specification or claims or abstract.

In claim 11, the limitation of the lateral cavity is annular was never disclosed in the original specification. The original specification discloses a cylindrical lateral cavity—not annular.

In claim 12, the limitation of the top surface of the vertical post being decorated with a unique color and/or design was never disclosed in the original specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9, 10, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fortune, 5214826 in view of Collins, 3217371.

Regarding claim 9, Fortune discloses a fastener assembly for use with footwear (abstract) comprising a series of fastener elements (figure 15) wherein each fastener element comprises

 A first mating member having two curved stop ends (20) and an elastomeric member (46) having two ends positioned between the two curved stop ends

- Each curved stop end having a vertical cavity (24, figure 4) with spaces for receipt of the first and second enlarged diameter areas of the vertical post (38)
- Two second mating members (figure 10) comprising a vertical post having a flange (40), a first area of enlarged diameter (see top portion of post 38), and a second area of enlarged diameter (portion located between sections 42 and 44)

The shape of the first and second enlarged diameter areas are considered to read on the limitations defined in the last paragraph of claim 1, i.e., they are shaped for an adult to easily push the post into the cavities and are difficult for a young child to remove the post from the vertical cavity.

Fortune fails to disclose a curved end stop having a top surface resembling the top surface of an upside down spoon and fails to disclose a proximal end of the stop end having a hollow lateral cavity to receive an end of the elastomeric member.

Fortune discloses a flat top surface and the reverse attachment between the elastomeric member (46) and the end stops (20), i.e., the elastomeric member has a cylindrical cavity that receives a cylindrical stud (26) to secure the end stop to the elastomeric member. Applicant's invention has the reversed attachment means, i.e., the cylindrical cavity is located on the end stop and the cylindrical stud on the elastomeric means.

Collins discloses a curved top surface (80) on an end stop and a cavity (72, 74) for receiving an elastomeric member (60, figures 1-3). This type of attachment between

Application/Control Number: 10/736,114

Art Unit: 3677

the end stop and the elastomeric member is considered to be a design equivalent of Fortune's attaching arrangement. Both attaching arrangements perform the same function of attaching the end stop to the elastomeric member equally as well as the other. Furthermore, the specification fails to disclose any criticality associated with the claimed attaching arrangement.

It would have been an obvious design choice to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Fortune's attaching arrangement to have the cavity located on the end stop for the reasons indicated above. Furthermore, to make Fortune's top surface of the end stop in the shape of a curve would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art in order to improve the aesthetical appearance of the end stop in view of the teachings in Collins.

Regarding claim 10, Fortune discloses cylindrical shaped posts (figure 7).

Regarding claims 12-14, Fortune discloses that the elastomeric member and the end stops can be made in any color, preferably neon colors (col. 4, lines 38-41, col. 7, lines 58-61).

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tonai discloses an elastic shoe lace incorporating hooks on the ends of an elastic member in order to engage the eyelets of a shoe.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/736,114

Art Unit: 3677

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack W. Lavinder whose telephone number is 571-272-7119. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Friday, 9-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 571-272-7075. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,114 Page 7

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack W Lavinder
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3677

5/11/05